### Giraffe: A Pangenomic Short Read Aligner Jouni Sirén **UCSC Genomics Institute**

## Giraffe aligner

- Pangenomic short read aligner.
- Combines the speed of linear aligners with the accuracy of graph-based aligners.
- Designed for (paired-end 150 bp) Illumina reads, where most sequencing errors are substitutions.
- Restricts its attention to paths that are locally consistent with observed haplotypes.
- Part of the VG toolkit.



https://github.com/vgteam/vg

### Pangenomics enables genotyping of known structural variants in 5202 diverse genomes

Jouni Sirén<sup>1</sup><sup>+</sup>, Jean Monlong<sup>1</sup><sup>+</sup>, Xian Chang<sup>1</sup><sup>+</sup>, Adam M. Novak<sup>1</sup><sup>+</sup>, Jordan M. Eizenga<sup>1</sup><sup>+</sup>, Charles Markello<sup>1</sup>, Jonas A. Sibbesen<sup>1</sup>, Glenn Hickey<sup>1</sup>, Pi-Chuan Chang<sup>2</sup>, Andrew Carroll<sup>2</sup>, Namrata Gupta<sup>3</sup>, Stacey Gabriel<sup>4</sup>, Thomas W. Blackwell<sup>5</sup>, Aakrosh Ratan<sup>6</sup>, Kent D. Taylor<sup>7</sup>, Stephen S. Rich<sup>6</sup>, Jerome I. Rotter<sup>7</sup>, David Haussler<sup>1,8</sup>, Erik Garrison<sup>9</sup>, Benedict Paten<sup>1</sup>\*

To appear in Science, 2021.

Preprint on bioRxiv: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.04.412486">https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.04.412486</a>

# Read alignment: the easy part

Mapping: Approximate location of the read in the reference.

Alignment: The best base-to-base alignment between the read and the reference near a particular mapping.

Typical considerations: mapping speed, fraction of mapped reads, fraction of correct mappings.

With these goals, read aligner design has equal parts of science, engineering, and art to it.

Mostly a principled process with some heuristics and computational parameters.

Seed and extend approach:

- Find seeds (partial alignments) using a text index.
- 2. **Cluster** the seeds that correspond to the same mapping (or **chain** them into rough alignments with long reads).
- 3. **Extend** the seeds into full alignments.

We continue exploring promising mappings until we are confident that we have found the best alignment.

# The ugly part

**Mapping quality**: Our confidence that we have chosen the correct mapping.

mapq =  $-10 \log_{10} p$ , where p is (the estimated) error probability.

Usually capped at 60 (one-in-million) and rarely calibrated that well in practice.

We model the probability to get the read from It seems unavoidable that we spend months a particular alignment due to sequencing investigating why we map a handful of reads errors and unknown variants. incorrectly with mapq 60 and how to deal with them without making the aligner too SIOW.

In principle, we can compute the mapping quality from the probabilities over all possible alignments (or mappings).

In practice, we use heuristics to determine when unexplored mappings should no longer have a significant effect on mapq.

We also estimate the probability that the heuristics went wrong and there was a good alignment we did not find.

## From VG map to Giraffe

Garrison et al.: **Variation graph toolkit improves read mapping by representing genetic variation in the reference**. Nature Biotechnology, 2018.

DOI: 10.1038/nbt.4227

The original VG aligner can be understood as an adaptation of BWA-MEM for graphs.

It is more **accurate** than aligners mapping short reads to linear reference sequences but also ~10x **slower** than them.

**Index construction** requires graph pruning and takes 1–2 days for a human graph.

**Complex graph regions** are computationally expensive, because the number of possible paths grows exponentially with the number of variants.

Giraffe started as an attempt to deal with the weaknesses of VG map by:

- relying less on sophisticated text indexes;
- using haplotype information to deal with complex regions; and
- avoiding expensive dynamic programming.

### Giraffe data model

The graph represents a collection of **aligned haplotypes**.

Positions in the haplotypes that map to the same node are considered equivalent.

Each **traversal** of the graph is a potential haplotype.

Traversals that are locally consistent with the original haplotypes are more likely to be biologically plausible.

The original haplotypes are stored as paths.



path ~ walk path ~ stored traversal traversal ~ emergent path

**GBWT** is effectively RLBWT for integer sequences. (There should be a talk on RLBWT on Friday.)

We choose to interpret the integers as nodes and the sequences as haplotype paths.

If the paths are similar enough, the GBWT can store them space-efficiently.

We partition the BWT and the rank structure into nodes, which improves memory locality.

For any graph traversal, we can easily determine how many indexed paths contain the traversal as a subpath.

### GBWT



Sirén et al.: Haplotype-aware graph indexes. Bioinformatics, 2020. DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btz575

https://github.com/jltsiren/gbwt https://github.com/jltsiren/gbwtgraph https://github.com/jltsiren/gbwt-rs

- 1. Find seeds using a minimizer index of the haplotypes.
- 2. Cluster the seeds using a distance index based on a hierarchical decomposition of the graph.
- 3. Extend the seeds over the haplotypes, allowing for a limited number of mismatches.
- 4. If we did not get enough full-length alignments, align the tails of best partial extensions using dynamic programming over the haplotypes.

### Giraffe algorithm



### 1. Minimizer seeds

Due to my background, I used to think that text indexes are a big deal in read aligners.

For Giraffe, we chose to use a **minimizer index**: a simple hash table that maps k-mers to graph positions.

A (w, k)-minimizer is the k-mer with the smallest hash value among all k-mers and their reverse complements in a w + k - 1 bp window.

We index all minimizers in the haplotypes. Index construction takes 5–10 minutes for a human genome graph, which may be faster than loading the index from a network drive. Most minimizers are unique (in the graph), while minimizers occurring in repetitive regions may have too many hits to be useful.

For each read, we choose all minimizers below the **soft hit cap** (10 hits) and some minimizers below the **hard hit cap** (500 hits), using a scoring heuristic.

We try to avoid using minimizers with multiple occurrences in the read, because each (read position, graph position) pair is a separate seed.

**Clustering** requires measuring distances between graph positions, which can be slow.

We use a **distance index** based on the **snarl decomposition** of the graph, which reduces distances in the graph to distances in a shallow tree.

Each graph component is a chain of snarls, and each snarl is primitive or consists of parallel chains.

Chang et al.: Distance indexing and seed clustering in sequence graphs. Bioinformatics, 2020. DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btaa446

### 2. Seed clustering





We extend the seeds in most promising clusters, chosen primarily by the fraction of the read covered by the minimizers.

Before extending, we merge seeds corresponding to the same alignment between the read and a node.

For each seed, we find the extension with the highest alignment score among all extensions over local haplotypes.

Seed extension is based on traversing a bidirectional GBWT (starting from the seed node) and counting the number of mismatches between read/node substrings.

### **3. Seed extension**

We allow any number of mismatches in the seed node, 4 mismatches in total, and 2 mismatches in each flank (even if that would exceed the overall mismatch bound).

If there are full-length extensions, we return those that do not overlap too much.

Otherwise we trim the partial extensions to maximize the alignment scores and return all distinct extensions.

## 4. Gapped alignment

If we did not find enough full-length alignments, the read may contain **indels** that are not present in the haplotypes.

We then resort to **dynamic programming**, aligning the tails of most promising extensions over all local haplotypes.

The decisions which extensions to align involve some of the ugliest and most complicated heuristics in Giraffe.

Because we handle the tails independently, we may also find alignments corresponding to **recombinations** of the haplotypes.

Left tail	Extension	Right tail
	Haplotypes	
Aligr	ment with a recombina	tion

# Paired-end mapping

If **fragment length distribution** is not provided, Giraffe estimates it with single-end mapping until there are enough confidently mapped pairs.

We cluster the seeds for both reads at the same time and pair clusters that are approximately at the right distance from each other.

If we have a confidently mapped read with an unmapped pair, we extract a subgraph and try to **rescue** the pair by aligning it using a simplified version of the Giraffe algorithm.



- 1. The graph we use as a mapping target may contain components (e.g. decoy sequences, unlocalized contigs) without haplotype information.
- 2. When we add a variant to the graph, it increases mapping accuracy for samples that contain the variant and reduces the accuracy for samples that do not contain it. For rare variants, the trade-off may not be worth it.
- 3. Mapping speed depends on the complexity of the graph and number of distinct local haplotypes.

# Artificial haplotypes

We can deal with these issues by generating artificial haplotypes with a greedy algorithm that **samples** k-node (default k = 4) local haplotypes proportionally.

Inspired by: Ghaffaari, Marschall: Fully-sensitive seed finding in sequence graphs using a hybrid index. Bioinformatics, 2019.

If there are more than ~200 haplotypes in the graph, the current best practice is downsampling them to 64 artificial haplotypes.



True Positive Rate (Recall)

(C) HGSVC/GRCh38 Single End (E) Five-strain yeast/S.c. S288C Single End 0.99 T Giraffe 0.96 0.93 0.90 -1e-07 1e-06 1e-05 1e-04 1e-03 1e-02 1e-01 1e+00 1e-06 1e-05 1e-04 1e-03 1e-02 1e-01 1e+00 (F) Five-strain yeast/S.c. S288C Paired End (D) HGSVC/GRCh38 Paired End 1.00 -0.98 -Giraffe 0.96 0.94 **-**0.92 -1e-07 1e-06 1e-05 1e-04 1e-03 1e-02 1e-01 1e-06 1e-05 1e-04 1e-03 1e-02 1e-01 1e+00 Log<sub>10</sub> False Discovery Rate (log<sub>10</sub>(1 - Precision)) GraphAligner ● 250000 ● 500000 **●** 750000

### A 1000GP/GRCh38 NovaSeq 6000 Runtime

VG-MAP paired				
VG-MAP single				
Bowtiez paired				
Bowtie2 single				
BWA-MEM paired				
Giraffe full single				
Giraffe full paired				
BWA -MEM single				
Giraffe sampled paired				
Ciraffo campled single				
Girane sampled single				
Minimap2 paired				
Giraffe primary paired				
fast Giraffe sampled paired				
Giraffe primary single				
Minimap2 single				
fast Giraffe sampled single				
HISAT2* paired				
HISAT2* single				
0 10 20 30 40	50			
Runtime (hours)				

### **B** HGSVC/GRCh38 NovaSeq 6000 Runtime

VG-MAP paired			
VG-MAP single			
GraphAligner			
Bowtie2 paired			
Bowtie2 single			
BWA-MEM paired			
-BWA-MEM single			
-Minimap2 paired			
Giraffe primary paired			
Giraffe full paired			
Giraffe full single			
Giraffe primary single			
-Minimap2 single			
HISAT2 paired			
HISAT2 single			
fast Giraffe full paired			
fast Giraffe full single			
0 10 20	30 4	40 5	50

Runtime (hours)

### C 1000GP/GRCh38 NovaSeq 6000 Memory

GraphAligner	Out of memory		
Giraffe full paired			
Giraffe full single			
Giraffe sampled paired			
fast Giraffe sampled paired			
Giraffe sampled single			
fast Giraffe sampled single			
Giraffe primary paired			
Giraffe primary single			
VG-MAP paired			
VG-MAP single			
- Minimap2 single			
-Minimap2 paired-			
HISAT2* paired			
HISAT2* single			
BWA-MEM paired			
BWA-MEM single			
Bowtie2 paired			
Bowtie2 single			
0 20 4	0 60	80 100	)

Memory (GB)

### **D** HGSVC/GRCh38 NovaSeq 6000 Memory

GraphAligner					
Giraffe full paired					
fast Giraffe full paired					
Giraffe full single					
fast Giraffe full single					
Giraffe primary paired					
Giraffe primary single					
VG-MAP pa	ired —				
VG-MAP sin	gle				
Minimap2 single	<u> </u>				
Minimap2 paired					
HISAT2 paired					
HISAT2 single —					
BWA-MEM paired					
BWA-MEM single					
Bowtie2 paired					
Bowtie2 single					
0 20 4	0	6	0	80 1	00

Memory (GB)

Thank you!